UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,377	05/05/2006	Bei Wang	CN030046US1	5700
24737 7590 12/06/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			BAROT, BHARAT	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/578,377	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bharat N. Barot	2455				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Se</u>	entember 2010					
	action is non-final.					
·=	, <del></del>					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
dicocca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(d) Of (f).				
·— <u> </u>	·- <u>-</u> ·-					
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
A44-21						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	A) Intomico Comercio	(PTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_ Other:						

### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Amended claims 1-16 remain for further examination.

# The new grounds of rejection

2. Applicants' amendments and arguments with respect to claims 1-16 filed on September 07, 2010 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Otsuka et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0170011). Otsuka's patent application meets all the limitations for claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, and 14-16 recited in the claimed invention.
- As to claim 1, Otsuka et al teach an optical disc playing method (see abstract and figures 1-2, and page 2 pars. 0021-0022), comprising: receiving a command from an user, which requires playing a part of content of a program contained on an optical disc; sending a request which requires related information of the part of content be

Art Unit: 2455

provided, the request including at least identification information of the optical disc (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031); receiving the related information, the related information including navigation information; and playing the part of content in synchronization with the received related information, wherein the synchronization utilizes the received navigation information in coordination with navigation information on the optical disc (figures 5-6, and page 4 pars.0034-0037).

- 6. As to claims 4-5, Otsuka et al teach that the information corresponding to the part of content includes the audio information (HTML documents) and the caption information (titles and chapters) (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0026-0027).
- 7. As to claims 6 and 9-10, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1 and 4-5 above, since claims 6 and 9-10 are merely an apparatus for the method of operations defined in the method claims 1 and 4-5.
- 8. As to claim 11, Otsuka et al teach a method for transferring downloaded information during playing (figures 1-3, pages 2-3 pars.0021-0024), comprising: receiving a downloading request which requires downloading information corresponding to a part of content of a program contained on an optical disc, the request including at least identification information of the optical disc; identifying the part of the content in the downloading request (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031); and outputting the information corresponding to the part of content of the downloading request in

Application/Control Number: 10/578,377 Page 4

Art Unit: 2455

synchronization with the part of content, wherein the synchronization utilizes navigation information of the information in coordination with navigation information on the optical disc(figures 5-6, and page 4 pars.0034-0037).

- 9. As to claim 14, Otsuka et al teach that searching the information corresponding to the part of content of the downloading request (figure 4s-6, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031).
- 10. As to claims 15-16, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 4-5 above, since claims 15-16 do not teach or define any new limitations than above claims 4-5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 11. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 12. Claims 2-3, 7-8, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0170011) in view of Yun (U.S. Patent No. 7,565,672).

Page 5

13. As to claims 2-3, Otsuka et al do not teach that the part of content of a program contained on the optical disc includes a part of content corresponding to a play-list and the request includes a language selected by the user.

Yun teaches that the part of content of a program contained on the optical disc includes a part of content corresponding to a play-list (figures 1-2; and column 4 lines 31-60) and the request includes a language selected by the user (figures 3-4; column 2 lines 26-53; and column 4 line 61 to column 5 line 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Yun stated above in the method of Otsuka et al for playing optical disc because it would have improved control for optical disc player and increased efficiency and utilization of the optical disc player by serializing of the data with number or language.

- 14. As to claims 7-8, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 2-3 above, since claims 7-8 are merely an apparatus for the method of operations defined in the method claims 2-3.
- 15. As to claims 12-13, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 2-3 above, since claims 12-13 do not teach or define any new limitations than above claims 2-3.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,377 Page 6

Art Unit: 2455

### **Response to Arguments**

16. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. The examiner has attempted to answer (response) to the remarks (arguments) in the body of the Office action.

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# **Additional Reference**

- 18. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.
  - a. Capitant, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0078891 A1.

## **Contact Information**

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bharat Barot** whose Telephone Number is **(571) 272-3979**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number **(571) 273-8300**.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,377 Page 7

Art Unit: 2455

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Saleh Najjar</u>, can be reached at **(571) 272-4006**.

/Bharat N Barot/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455

November 10, 2010